

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

People of the State of California, et al.

v.

Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC; Meta  
Payments, Inc.; Meta Platforms Technologies,  
LLC,

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT  
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION THIS  
DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

4:23-cv-05448.

MDL No. 3047

Case Nos. 4:22-md-03047-YGR-PHK  
4:23-cv-05448-YGR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S  
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM  
NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER  
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered the Plaintiff State Attorneys General's Motion for Relief from the Nondispositive Pretrial Order of the Magistrate Judge, (ECF No. \_\_\_\_ ) and the Defendant's opposition thereto, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion. The conclusion that Meta may issue a single 30(b)(6) deposition notice to multiple agencies in state law enforcement actions in Discovery Management Order No. 14 Following the Discovery Management Conference of January 16, 2025

1 (ECF No. 1646) is “contrary to law” and “clearly erroneous.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). Meta’s Notices  
2 to the “States” are thus improper under Rule 30(b)(6) and Meta is directed to issue amended,  
3 appropriately targeted individual subpoenas to each “governmental agency” from which it seeks  
4 information directly rather than seeking that information through each state as a monolith.

5  
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

9  
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28